

CABOTEGRAVIR (CAB) + RILPIVIRINE (RPV) EVERY 2 MONTHS IS NONINFERIOR TO MONTHLY: ATLAS-2M STUDY

Edgar T. Overton,¹ Gary Richmond,² Giuliano Rizzardini,³ Hans Jaeger,⁴ Catherine Orrell,⁵ Firaya Nagimova,⁶ Fritz Bredeek,⁷ Miguel García del Toro,⁸ Paul D. Benn,⁹ Yuanyuan Wang,¹⁰ Krischan J. Hudson,¹¹ David A. Margolis,¹¹ Kimberly Smith,¹¹ Peter E. Williams,¹² William Spreen,¹¹ Ciara Ní Mhurchú^{13*}

¹University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA; ²Broward General Health Medical Center, Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA; ³Fatebenefratelli Sacco Hospital, Milan, Italy; ⁴HIV Research and Clinical Care Center, Munich, Germany; ⁵Desmond Tutu HIV Foundation, University of Cape Town Medical School, Cape Town, South Africa; ⁶Republic Center for the Prevention and Control of AIDS and Infectious Diseases, Russia; ⁷Metropolis Medical, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁸General Hospital of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; ⁹ViiV Healthcare, Brentford, UK; ¹⁰GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, PA, USA; ¹¹ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA; ¹²Janssen Research & Development, Beerse, Belgium; ¹³GlaxoSmithKline, Dublin, Ireland *Presenting on behalf of the authors.

Introduction

- The phase III ATLAS¹ and FLAIR² studies demonstrated that intramuscular injection of longacting (LA) cabotegravir (CAB) + rilpivirine (RPV) administered every 4 weeks (Q4W) was noninferior to daily oral 3-drug antiretroviral therapy (ART) for maintenance of virologic suppression in people living with HIV infection
- The phase III, randomized, open-label ATLAS-2M study was conducted to determine whether CAB + RPV LA administered every 8 weeks (Q8W) is noninferior to Q4W dosing

Methods

- Eligible participants included those from ATLAS who had been taking CAB + RPV LA Q4W or standard of care (SOC) for ≥52 weeks with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL at screening and additional SOC participants who were taking an uninterrupted ART regimen for ≥ 6 months before screening and had ≥2 HIV-1 RNA measurements <50 c/mL within 12 months of screening (Figure 1)
- Primary and key secondary efficacy endpoints were proportion of participants with plasma HIV-1 RNA \geq 50 c/mL (noninferiority [NI] margin, 4%) and <50 c/mL (NI margin, -10%), respectively, at Week 48
- Other secondary endpoints included incidence of confirmed virologic failure (CVF; 2 consecutive plasma HIV-1 RNA levels ≥200 c/mL after prior suppression <200 c/mL), safety, tolerability, and treatment preference

Figure 1. ATLAS-2M Study Design: Phase III, Randomized, Multicenter, Parallel-Group, Noninferiority, Open-Label Study



^aParticipants from ATLAS must have been on CAB + RPV LA Q4W or a current ART regimen through at least Week 52 with HIV-1 RNA <50 c/mL at screening. bSOC participants not from ATLAS must have been on an uninterrupted ART regimen ≥6 mo before screening with ≥2 HIV-1 RNA measurements <50 c/mL in the 12 mo before screening (1 between 12 and 6 mo and 1 within 6 mo of screening). Participants were excluded if they had a history of virologic failure or evidence of viral resistance. Randomization was stratified by prior CAB + RPV exposure. Except participants from ATLAS already on LA therapy. eTolerability in participants on oral lead-in treatment was assessed at Week 4. fIn participants in the Q4W arm who had an oral lead-in, the first LA dose was CAB 600 mg + RPV 900 mg.

Results

• 1045 participants initiated treatment; 391 were from the Q4W group of ATLAS and 654 had previously been treated with SOC (Table 1)

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics (ITT-E Population) Were Well Balanced Between Q8W and Q4W Groups

Parameter	Q8W	Q4W	Total
	(N=522)	(N=523)	(N=1045)
Age, median (range), y	42 (20-83)	42 (19-75)	42 (19-83)
≥50 y, n (%)	143 (27)	139 (27)	282 (27)
Sex at birth, female, n (%)	137 (26)	143 (27)	280 (27)
Participant-reported gender, female, n (%)	142 (27)	146 (28)	288 (28)
Race, n (%) White Black or African American Other	370 (71) 101 (19) 51 (10)	393 (75) 90 (17) 40 (8)	763 (73) 191 (18) 91 (9)
BMI, median (IQR), kg/m²	26 (23-29)	26 (23-29)	26 (23-29)
≥30 kg/m², n (%)	113 (22)	98 (19)	211 (20)
CD4 count, median (IQR), cells/mm ³	642 (499-827)	688 (523-878)	661 (508-849)
Prior exposure to CAB + RPV, n (%) None 1-24 wk >24 wk	327 (63) 69 (13) 126 (24)	327 (63) 68 (13) 128 (24)	654 (63) 137 (13) 254 (24)

Snapshot Outcomes at Week 48



^aBased on Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis adjusting for prior exposure to CAB + RPV (0 wk, 1-24 wk, >24 wk).

Virologic Failure

2 (0.4%) receiving Q4W had CVF (Table 2)

Table 2. Rate of Confirmed Virologic Failure Was Low Overall

	U					
	n	CVFs n (%)	CVFs with RPV RAMs ^a	RPV RAMs observed at failure	CVFs with IN RAMsª	IN RAMs observed at failure
Q8W	522	8 (1.5)	6/8	K101E, E138E/K, E138A, Y188L	5/8	Q148R, ^b N155H ^b
Q4W	523	2 (0.4)	1/2	K101E, M230L	2/2	E138E/K, Q148R, N155N/H

^aFor those with observed RAMs at failure: 6/6 Q8W and 1/1 Q4W CVFs had RPV resistance (fold-change >2), and 3/5 Q8W and 1/2 Q4W CVFs had CAB resistance (fold-change >2.5); CVF definition: 2 consecutive plasma HIV-1 RNA levels ≥200 c/mL after prior suppression to <200 c/mL. ^bOr mixture.

- results for Q8W arm:
- H221H/Y, E138E/A, Y188Y/F/H/L)
- 1 complex subtype)
- noncompliance on PI-based ART)
- All CVFs retained phenotypic sensitivity to dolutegravir

Infectious Diseases Society of Ireland Webinar; October 22, 2020; Virtual

 9 (1.7%) participants receiving CAB + RPV LA Q8W and 5 (1.0%) receiving CAB + RPV Q4W had HIV-1 RNA ≥50 c/mL (adjusted treatment difference, 0.8 [95% confidence interval: -0.6 to 2.2]; Figure 2), meeting the NI criteria for the primary endpoint

• Of these participants in the Q8W and Q4W arms, respectively, 3 (0.6%) and 2 (0.4%) had data in window not <50 c/mL, 6 (1.1%) and 2 (0.4%) discontinued due to lack of efficacy, and 0 and 1 (0.2%) discontinued for other reasons while not <50 c/mL

• 21 (4.0%) participants in the Q8W arm and 29 (5.5%) in the Q4W arm had no virologic data, with discontinuations primarily for reasons not

• 8 (1.5%) participants receiving CAB + RPV LA Q8W and

Post hoc baseline peripheral blood mononuclear cell HIV-1 DNA

5/8 CVFs had preexisting major RPV RAMs (E138A, Y188L, Y181Y/C,

• 1/8 CVFs had a preexisting major IN RAM (G140G/R)

5/8 CVFs had L74I polymorphism (3 subtype A or A1, 1 subtype C,

• 9/10 CVFs resuppressed on fully active oral HAART (1/10

Adverse Events

• The majority (98% [n/N=5568/5659]) of injection-site reactions (ISRs) were grade 1/2, with a median duration of 3 days in both arms (Table 3)

Table 3. Most Injection-Site Reactions Were Grade 1/2 and Were Well Tolerated

Outcome, n (%), ITT-E	Q8W (N=522)	Q4W (N=523)
Number of injections	8470	15,711
ISR event (event/injection) ^a Grade ≥3 – severe ^b	2507 (30) 43 (<1)	3152 (20) 48 (<1)
ISR ^c Pain Nodule Discomfort	2014 (24) 113 (1) 92 (1)	2567 (16) 204 (1) 110 (1)
Withdrawal due to injection-related reasons ^d	6 (1)	11 (2)

A single injection could result in >1 ISR. ^aAll event-level ISR percentages are calculated from the total number of injections. ^bThere were no grade 4/5 ISRs. ^cISRs occurring in >1% of injections in either the Q4W or Q8W arms are shown. dQ8W: 5 participants had an ISR leading to withdrawal and 1 participant withdrew consent from the study due to injection intolerability; Q4W: 5 participants had an ISR leading to withdrawal and 6 participants withdrew consent from the study due to injection intolerability.

- Non-ISR AEs (≥10%) were similar between the Q8W and Q4W arms (91% and 92%, respectively), and 96% of drug-related AEs were grade 1/2 Nasopharyngitis and upper respiratory tract infection were the most frequent
- Of the AEs leading to withdrawal, 5 (<1%) in the Q8W arm and 8 (2%) in the Q4W arm were drug related
- No drug-related fatal AEs were observed in either dosing arm

Participant Preference

oral therapy (Figure 3)

Figure 3. ATLAS-2M: Majority of Participants Preferred Q8W Dosing

Conclusions

- Q4W dosing

- between groups
- with HIV infection

Acknowledgments: This study was funded by ViiV Healthcare and Janssen Pharmaceuticals. The authors thank everyone who has contributed to the success of ATLAS-2M, all study participants and their families, and the ATLAS-2M clinical investigators and their staff. Editorial assistance and graphic design support for this poster were provided under the direction of the authors by MedThink SciCom and funded by ViiV Healthcare. Data included in this poster have been previously presented in full at Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; March 8-11, 2020; Virtual; Slides 34.

References: 1. Swindells et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1112-1123. 2. Orkin et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1124-1135.

• 98% of participants who responded to the preference question (300/306) with no prior CAB + RPV LA Q4W experience preferred CAB + RPV LA Q8W over daily CAB + RPV

Q8W dosing of CAB + RPV LA was highly effective and noninferior to

• Virologic nonresponse (≥50 c/mL) was infrequent and similar between the 2 groups Virologic suppression was maintained in 94.3% and 93.5% of those in the Q8W and Q4W arms, respectively

Rate of CVF was low overall (1%)

CAB + RPV LA was well tolerated with a comparable safety profile

• 98% of participants preferred Q8W dosing of CAB + RPV LA treatment over oral therapy, and Q8W dosing was preferred by 94% of participants with prior Q4W experience

Overall, CAB + RPV LA dosed every 2 months is an innovative and effective treatment for maintenance of virologic suppression in people living